Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,072	TOOYAMA, HIROAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MATTHEW SULLIVAN	3677		

	WINTE THE COLLETT AND	0077	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which pl with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a F	aces the Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount on the hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate externally set in the final Office action	nsion fee ; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be f	iled within two months of the	date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appear	
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered because	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the issue	es for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-3	324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendment cance	eling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an explanat	ion of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails to pro	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance beca	ause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Victor Batson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration..